

Multi-UAV-based Optimal Crop-dusting of Anomalous Diffusing Infestation of Crops

Jianxiong Cao^{a,b}, YangQuan Chen^{b,*}, Changpin Li^a

^a*Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China*

^b*School of Engineering, University of California, Merced, 5200 North Lake Road, Merced, CA 95343, USA*

Abstract

This paper presents a UAV-based optimal crop-dusting method to control anomalously diffusing infestation of crops. Two anomalous diffusion models are considered, which are, respectively, time-fractional order diffusion equation and space-fractional order diffusion equation. Our problem formulation is motivated by real-time pest management by using networked unmanned cropdusters where the pest spreading is modeled as a fractional diffusion equation. We attempt to solve the optimal dynamic location of actuators by using Centroidal Voronoi Tessellations. A new simulation platform (FO-DiffMAS-2D) for measurement scheduling and controls in fractional order distributed parameter systems is also introduced in this paper. Simulation results are presented to show the effectiveness of the proposed method as well as the role of fractional order in the overall control performance.

Keywords: Anomalous diffusion process, Centroidal Voronoi Tessellation, Distributed parameter system simulation, Fractional diffusion equation

1. Introduction

It is well known that diffusion model is one of the most commonly used mathematical approaches for the description of transport dynamics, and the normal diffusion equation is used to govern the corresponding process. However, it fails to describe some transport dynamics in various complex systems.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: caojianxiong2007@126.com (Jianxiong Cao),
ychen53@ucmerced.edu (YangQuan Chen), lcp@shu.edu.cn (Changpin Li)

In the past few decades, fractional calculus has attracted an increasing interests of researchers, and by using fractional calculus, anomalous diffusion models are developed to describe transport process in complex dynamic system [1]. Due to the nonlocal and hereditary properties of fractional operators, fractional diffusion equations are used to simulate those anomalous diffusion transport dynamics in complex systems. In this paper, we study the complex transport dynamic behavior of pest infestation of crops. One of the main problems is to locate the diffusion source, give optimal dynamic actuator location according to the measured data from sensors, and then take action to the anomalous infestation. Many researchers focused on seeking source problem. In [2], the authors used mobile robots to measure diffusion source with gradient climbing method. Parameter estimation algorithm [3] was also used to identify a moving diffusion source. Recently, with the rapid development of unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV), multiple UAV are used for source seeking [4]. However, there is not enough information for controlling a diffusion process only by knowing its source. Qiang Du et al. [5] proposed Centroidal Voronoi Tessellations in coverage control, and then CVT method was extended to a diffusion and spray control [6]. Here, inspired by the properties of less time with higher efficiency while using UAV, we try to use low-cost UAVs as cropdusters/actuators to control anomalous diffusion of pest spreading.

It can be easily seen that detecting and controlling a diffusion process will be viewed as an optimal sensor/actuator location problem in a distributed parameter system. Basically, CVT algorithm provides a non-model-based method for coverage and diffusion control by using a group of robots. Therefore, people use CVT to generate some desired actuator positions.

This paper tries to solve the problem how to locate a group of UAVs to sense and control anomalous diffusion process of pest infestation. What we most concern here is the minimal impact to the soil and agriculture caused by pesticide and pest. The pest has severe negative impact on agricultural industry, but the pesticide may also have bad effect on the soil. So, the main objective for us is to deploy the UAVs and control the pest diffusion in an optimal way to minimize any negative impact on the crops and also the soil. That is to say, the pesticide should be released in such a way that the diffusion of the pest is bounded so that the heavily affected area is kept as small as possible. These all depend on how the UAVs positions are chosen, how they move and what control law is used to release the pesticide.

Motivated by real-time pest management by using unmanned cropdusters

[7] and the application of CVT in optimal placement of resource [5], we proposed a practical algorithm based on CVT to solve the problem of actuator motion planning to spray the pest. In our experiment, the pest/pollution density is given by the sensors that cover the area and form a mesh. Based on Diff-MAS2D [8], we develop a new simulation platform **F0-Diff-MAS2D** for fractional diffusion system measurement and control with mobile sensors and mobile actuators. Our proposed algorithm has been implemented on **F0-Diff-MAS2D**. Simulation results access the effectiveness of our algorithm as well as the role of fractional order in the overall control performance changes.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the models of anomalous diffusion process and the corresponding control problems are formulated. In Section 3, Centroidal Voronoi Tessellations (CVT) based optimal actuator location algorithm is briefly introduced. The main features of our simulation platform **F0-Diff-MAS2D** for fractional diffusion system measurement and control are introduced in Section 4. In Section 5, we imply simulation experiments to show the effectiveness of our proposed methods. Finally, we conclude the paper and give future research efforts in last section.

2. Mathematical models and problem formulation

In this section, we give two anomalous diffusion models to describe infestation problem. The two models are described by time fractional diffusion equation and space fractional diffusion, respectively.

Suppose that two anomalous diffusion processes evolve in a convex polytope $\Omega : \Omega \in R^2$. The time domain is $t \geq 0$. Let $\rho(x, y) : \Omega \rightarrow R_+$ represent the pest density over Ω . The corresponding dynamic process can be modeled by using the following time fractional diffusion equation

$${}_CD_{0,t}^\alpha \rho(x, y, t) = k_\alpha \left(\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial y^2} \right) + f_d(\rho, x, y, t) + f_c(\tilde{\rho}, x, y, t), \quad (1)$$

and space fractional diffusion equation below

$$\frac{\partial \rho(x, y, t)}{\partial t} = k_\beta \left(\frac{\partial^\beta \rho}{\partial |x|^\beta} + \frac{\partial^\beta \rho}{\partial |y|^\beta} \right) + f_d(\rho, x, y, t) + f_c(\tilde{\rho}, x, y, t), \quad (2)$$

where $\rho(x, y, t)$ is the pest density to be controlled, k_α and k_β are positive constants representing the diffusion rate, $f_d(\rho, x, y, t)$ is source, $\tilde{\rho}$ is the measured data of ρ from the sensors, $f_c(\tilde{\rho}, x, y, t)$ is the control input by mobile actuators to spray the pest, and its exact form depends on the closed-loop control law designed by the user based on certain control performance requirement. ${}_CD_{0,t}^\alpha \rho$ is Caputo fractional derivative of order α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) defined by [9]

$${}_CD_{0,t}^\alpha \rho = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\alpha} \rho'(x, y, \tau) d\tau, & 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}, & \alpha = 1. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

The operators $\frac{\partial^\beta \rho}{\partial |x|^\beta}$ and $\frac{\partial^\beta \rho}{\partial |y|^\beta}$ are Riesz fractional derivatives, which are, respectively defined as [9]

$$\frac{\partial^\beta \rho}{\partial |x|^\beta} = \begin{cases} -c_\beta \left({}_{RL}D_{a,x}^\beta \rho + {}_{RL}D_{x,b}^\beta \rho \right), & 1 < \beta < 2, \\ \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial x^2}, & \beta = 2. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where ${}_{RL}D_{a,x}^\beta$, ${}_{RL}D_{x,b}^\beta$ are left/right Riemann-Liouville derivatives for variable x defined in [9]

$$\frac{\partial^\beta \rho}{\partial |y|^\beta} = \begin{cases} -c_\beta \left({}_{RL}D_{c,y}^\beta \rho + {}_{RL}D_{y,d}^\beta \rho \right), & 1 < \beta < 2, \\ \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial y^2}, & \beta = 2, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

here ${}_{RL}D_{c,y}^\beta$, ${}_{RL}D_{y,d}^\beta$ are left/right Riemann-Liouville derivatives for variable y . The parameter c_β in (3) and (4) is $c_\beta = \frac{1}{2 \cos(\beta\pi/2)}$.

Denote by $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be the location of n actuators and $|\cdot|$ be the Euclidean distance. Each actuator at position p_i will receive information from sensors and then move and release the pesticide chemical by some control law. The objectives are:

- Control the infestation diffusion to a confined area.
- Cropdusting in a time optimal way while not making the area over-dosed.

- Minimize the crops area that is heavily affected.

n sensors will divide Ω into a set of n polytopes $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \dots, V_n\}$, $p_i \in V_i$, $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ and $\cup_{i=1}^n \bar{V}_i = \bar{\Omega}$ ($\bar{V}_i = V_i \cup \partial V_i$ and $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \partial \Omega$). In order to control the diffusion process and minimize the heavily affected area, the actuators should be close to those areas with high pest concentrations so that the pest can be killed timely and does not diffuse further. But confining all actuators very close to the pollution source is not a good strategy. To decide the positions of the actuators, we consider the minimizing of the following cost function

$$\mathcal{K}(P, \mathcal{V}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{V_i} \rho(q) |q - p_i|^2 dq \text{ for } q \in \Omega. \quad (6)$$

One can see that to minimize $\mathcal{K}$, the distance $|q - p_i|$ should be small when the pest density $\rho(q)$ is big. It is the density function $\rho(q)$ that determines the optimal positions of the actuators. A necessary condition for $\mathcal{K}$ to be minimized is that $\{p_i, V_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is a CVT of Ω [10]. Our algorithm is based on a discrete version of (6) and the density information comes from the measurements of the static, low-cost sensors.

3. optimal actuator algorithm

In this section, we give the algorithm to compute the locations of actuators by using CVT. Analogue to [6, 11, 12, 13], we also use Lloyd's method to determine CVT. The algorithm is described as follows [10]:

Give a region Ω , a density function $\rho(x)$ defined for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, and a positive integer k

1. select an initial set of k points $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^k$ as the generators,
2. construct the Voronoi sets $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^k$ associated with generators $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^k$,
3. determine the mass centroids of the Voronoi sets $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^k$; these centroids form the new set of points $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^k$,
4. If the new points meet some convergence criterion, terminate; otherwise, return to step 2).

We assume that an actuator can communicate with the sensors and other actuators within radius R_i . R_i can be changed. Here we introduce a distributed algorithm from [6] with mild modification. At the first execution of step 2) in the above Lloyd's algorithm, each UAV will do the following:

1. Assign its detection range R_i with a small initial value, detect all its neighboring sensors with radius R_i .
2. Construct its own Voronoi cell within the radius R_i .
3. For every sensor q_i , compute $d_i = \max|q_i - p_i|$.
4. If $R_i > 2 \times d_i$, stop. Otherwise set $R_i = 2 \times d_i$, go to step 2).

R_i obtained at the first step will be used as the initial values for the following steps. For example, if successive 3 updates, the R_i remains unchanged, then R_i can be decreased, $R_i = R_i - \Delta r$ for some $\Delta r > 0$. This improvement on the algorithm from [6, 14] helps to reduce the computation requirements.

The mobile actuators are treated as virtual particles and obey the second-order dynamical equation:

$$\ddot{p}_i = -k_p(p_i - \bar{p}_i) - k_v\dot{p}_i. \quad (7)$$

The first term of (7) on the right hand side is a proportional control law used as the force input to control the motion, where $\bar{p}_i$ is the computed mass centroid of the current Voronoi cell. The second term is the viscous friction artificially introduced in [15]. k_v is the friction coefficient and $\dot{p}_i$ denotes the velocity of the actuator i .

4. FO-DiffMAS-2D platform

In this section, we introduce the simulation platform **FO-Diff-MAS2D** for fractional diffusion model system measurement and control with mobile sensors and mobile actuators.

The following two types of boundary conditions at boundary $\partial\Omega$ can be used in the problem formulation.

- Dirichlet boundary condition

$$\rho = C, \quad (8)$$

where C is a real constant.

- Neumann boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial n} = C_1 + C_2 \rho, \quad (9)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are two real constants; n stands for the outward direction.

F0-Diff-MAS2D uses finite difference method to discretize the spatial derivative in (1), and fractional central difference [16] to approximate space fractional derivative in (2), then leaves the time domain integration to Matlab/Simulink. Specifically, **F0-Diff-MAS2D** is used to solve a two dimensional fractional diffusion equation. See Appendix for the numerical verifications. As an extension of **Diff-MAS2D** [8], the main features of **F0-Diff-MAS2D** are listed as follows:

- Sensors and actuators can be collocated or non-collocated.
- Disturbances can be movable and time-varying.
- The mobility platform dynamics of sensors and actuators can be modeled as either first order or second order.
- Movement of sensors and actuators can be open-loop or closed-loop.
- Arbitrary control algorithms can be applied in $f_c(\tilde{\rho}(x, y, t), x, y, t)$.

5. Simulation experiments

In this section, we consider two different fractional diffusion models to describe the anomalous diffusion infestation of crops. **F0-Diff-MAS2D** is used as the simulation platform for our realization. The area concerned is given by $\Omega = \{(x, y) | 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$.

Example I The complex transport dynamic system with control input is modelled as the following time fractional diffusion equation

$${}_CD_{0,t}^\alpha \rho(x, y, t) = 0.01 \left(\frac{\partial^2 \rho(x, y, t)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \rho(x, y, t)}{\partial y^2} \right) + f_c(x, y, t) + f_d(x, y, t), \quad (10)$$

with homogeneous Neumann boundary, given by

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0.$$

The pest source is modeled as a point disturbance f_d to the fractional diffusion system (10) with its position at (0.8, 0.2) and

$$f_d(t) = 20e^{-t}|_{(x=0.8, y=0.2)}.$$




Figure 1: Initial layout of actuators, sensors and obstacle.

The pest source begins to move at $t = 0$ to the area Ω , 4 actuators which can release the pesticide are deployed with initial positions at $(0.33, 0.33)$, $(0.33, 0.66)$, $(0.66, 0.33)$, $(0.66, 0.66)$, respectively. There are 29×29 sensors evenly distributed in a square area $(0, 1)^2$, and they form a mesh over the area. In our simulation, we suppose that once deployed, the sensors remain static. Figure 1 shows the initial positions of the actuators, the positions of the sensors and the position of the pollution source.

To check the validity of time fractional diffusion model, we give the simulation result in [6] for comparison, the results are displayed in Figure 2. The y axis is the sum of the sensors measurements. It is clear that the result by using time fractional diffusion model is in accordance with integer order diffusion model when order $\alpha \approx 1$.

In order to illustrate the different diffusion behavior and control effectiveness with different time fractional derivative order, we choose $\alpha = 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1$, respectively. The simulation results are shown in Figure. 3, but the system is divergent when $\alpha < 0.7$, $\alpha = 0.7$ is the best derivative order in equation (10). One can see that the peak of the pollution becomes lower as α decreases. It can be seen that the pest diffusion exhibits some behavior of subdiffusion.

We now fix $\alpha = 0.7$ as the optimal time derivative order in equation (10). First, for designing the actuator motion control law, the viscous coefficient is




Figure 2: Evolution of the amount of pollutants




Figure 3: Comparison different evolution with different values of α

fixed by $k_v = 1$, in order to obtain optimal control strategy, letting k_p change from 1 to 9, as shown in Figure 4, $k_p = 6$ is the best gain. Then, we give the following control input

$$\ddot{p}_i = -6(p_i - \bar{p}_i) - \dot{p}_i. \quad (11)$$

We choose the simulation time to $t = 6$ s. And the step size is chosen as $\Delta t = 0.002$ s. The actuator recomputes its desired position every 0.1s. To show how the actuators can control the diffusion of the pests, the UAVs begin

to react at $t = 0.4s$. The system evolves under the effects of diffusion of pests and diffusion of pesticide released by UAVs.

In Figure 5, the y axis is the sum of the sensors measurements. It shows that the amount of pests decreases to 19% of its peak value at the end of the simulation. And the decreasing process is monotonic. The evolution of the amount of pests without control is also shown in Figure 5. we can tell that the pest infestation of crops has been well controlled.




Figure 4: Evolution of the amount of pollutants with different control law ($\alpha = 0.7$)

Figure 6 shows the evolution of the diffusion process with 4 actuators controlling one pest source diffusing through the region. The blue circles represent the actuator positions. The red circles represent the desired actuator positions using CVT.

It can be seen that the pollution density is becoming lower when the actuators are approaching their desired positions. It can be concluded that the pollution has been well controlled by equation (10) with fractional diffusion equation combining actuator control law (11).

Example II The system is modelled by the following space fractional diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho(x, y, t)}{\partial t} = 0.01 \left(\frac{\partial^\beta \rho}{\partial |x|^\beta} + \frac{\partial^\beta \rho}{\partial |y|^\beta} \right) + f_d(\rho, x, y, t) + f_c(\tilde{\rho}, x, y, t), \quad (12)$$




Figure 5: Evolution of the amount of pollutants with $\alpha = 0.7$

combining with the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition


$$\rho = 0.$$

The pest source is modeled as a point disturbance f_d to the fractional diffusion system (12) with its position at $(0.75, 0.35)$ and


$$f_d(t) = 20e^{-t}|_{(x=0.75, y=0.35)}.$$

The pest source begins to move at $t = 0$ to the area Ω , 4 robots which can release the pesticide are deployed with initial positions at $(0.33, 0.33)$, $(0.33, 0.66)$, $(0.66, 0.33)$, $(0.66, 0.66)$, respectively. There are 29×29 sensors evenly distributed in a square area $(0, 1)^2$, and they form a mesh over the area. In our simulation, we assume that once deployed, the sensors remain static. Figure 7 shows the initial positions of the robots, the positions of the sensors and the position of the source.


In order to illustrate the different diffusion behavior and control effectiveness with different space fractional derivative order, we choose $\beta = 2, 1.9, 1.7, 1.6, 1.5$, respectively, and simulation time is $t = 4$ s. The simulation results are shown in Figure 11. From the figure, we can see that $\beta = 1.7$ is the best spatial derivative order in equation (12) to model the space fractional diffusion process. Thus, we fix $\beta = 1.7$ in (12), and use the same control law in case I, the numerical simulation result is shown in




(a) $t = 1.0s$.




(b) $t = 2.0s$




(c) $t = 3.0s$



(d) $t = 4.0s$



(e) $t = 5.0s$



(f) $t = 5.5s$

Figure 6: Evolution of $\rho(x,y,t)$, mobile robots positions and desired positions

Figure 9. One can see that the space fractional diffusion of pest infestation is well controlled.




Figure 7: Initial layout of actuators, sensors and obstacle.




Figure 8: Comparison different evolution with different values of β




Figure 9: Evolution of the amount of pollutants with $\beta = 1.7$

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we consider the optimal dynamic location problem for a group of UAVs which can release pesticide chemicals, known as “mobile actuator” or “cropdusters” for neutralizing a pest diffusion process which are governed by time fractional order diffusion equation and space fractional diffusion equation. A new simulation platform has been built to prove the effectiveness of our algorithm and the problem of optimal dynamic motion of mobile actuators has been solved by CVT with better effectiveness. We also obtain the optimal value of derivative order for both time fractional order and space fractional order, when they are used to describe the corresponding anomalous diffusion process. In the future, we will extend our UAV-based optimal crop-dusting problem by using fractional order control law.

APPENDIX

To verify that FO-DiffMAS-2D works better for solving the anomalous diffusion problem, we use it to numerically solve the following two dimensional fractional diffusion equation.

The matlab code used in the simulations can be downloaded from here: <http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/48675-a-numerical-simulation-platform-for-the-control-of-anomalous-diffusion-process>.

Example 1 Consider the following two dimensional time fractional diffusion equation

$${}_CD_{0,t}^\alpha u(x, y, t) = 0.01 \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) + f(x, y, t), \quad (13)$$

$$0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq y \leq 1, \quad t \geq 0,$$

with initial and boundary conditions

$$u(x, y, 0) = 0, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad 0 < y < 1, \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, y, t) &= u(1, y, t) = 0, \\ u(x, 0, t) &= u(x, 1, t) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where

$$f(x, y, t) = \frac{2t^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3-\alpha)}(x-x^2)(y-y^2) + 0.02t^2(x-x^2+y-y^2).$$

The exact solution of the above equations is

$$u(x, y, t) = t^2 x(1-x)y(1-y).$$

Then, let $h_x = h_y = \frac{1}{20}$ as spatial step size, $\tau = \frac{1}{250}$ as time step length, the comparisons between numerical and exact solutions at time $t = 0.5$ with different α are displayed in the followings

From Figures 10-13, we can see that the numerical results are in good accordance with exact solutions.

Example 2 Consider the space fractional diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial u(x, y, t)}{\partial t} = 0.01 \left(\frac{\partial^\beta u}{\partial |x|^\beta} + \frac{\partial^\beta u}{\partial |y|^\beta} \right) + f(x, y, t), \quad (16)$$

$$1 < \beta < 2, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq y \leq 1, \quad t \geq 0,$$

together with initial and boundary conditions

$$u(x, y, 0) = 0, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad 0 < y < 1, \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, y, t) &= u(1, y, t) = 0, \\ u(x, 0, t) &= u(x, 1, t) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$




Figure 10: Comparison of numerical and exact solution when $\alpha = 0.6$




Figure 11: Comparison of numerical and exact solution when $\alpha = 0.7$

where

$$f(x, y, t) = 2t(x - x^2)(y - y^2) + \frac{0.02t^2}{2 \cos(\beta\pi/2)} \left\{ (y - y^2) \left[\frac{x^{1-\beta} + (1-x)^{1-\beta}}{\Gamma(2-\beta)} - \frac{2x^{2-\beta} + 2(1-x)^{2-\beta}}{\Gamma(3-\beta)} \right] + (x - x^2) \left[\frac{y^{1-\beta} + (1-y)^{1-\beta}}{16 \Gamma(2-\beta)} - \frac{2y^{2-\beta} + 2(1-y)^{2-\beta}}{\Gamma(3-\beta)} \right] \right\}.$$




Figure 12: Comparison of numerical and exact solution when $\alpha = 0.8$




Figure 13: Comparison of numerical and exact solution when $\alpha = 0.9$

The exact solution of above equation is

$$u(x, y, t) = t^2 x(1 - x)y(1 - y).$$

Letting $h_x = h_y = \frac{1}{20}$ as spatial step size, $\tau = \frac{1}{250}$ as time step length, the comparisons between numerical and exact solutions at time $t = 1$ with different orders β are shown as follows

We can see that the numerical solutions are agree with the exact solutions.




Figure 14: Comparison of numerical and exact solution when $\beta = 1.3$




Figure 15: Comparison of numerical and exact solution when $\beta = 1.5$

From the above two examples, it is easy to see that FO-DiffMAS-2D is efficient in solving two dimensional diffusion equations.




Figure 16: Comparison of numerical and exact solution when $\beta = 1.7$




Figure 17: Comparison of numerical and exact solution when $\beta = 1.9$

References

References

- [1] R. Metzler, J. Klafter, The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach, Physics reports 339 (1) (2000) 1–77.
- [2] D. Zarzhitsky, D. F. Spears, W. M. Spears, Swarms for chemical plume

- tracing, in: Swarm Intelligence Symposium, 2005. SIS 2005. Proceedings 2005 IEEE, IEEE, 2005, pp. 249–256.
- [3] M. A. Demetriou, Power management of sensor networks for detection of a moving source in 2-d spatial domains, in: American Control Conference, 2006, IEEE, 2006, pp. 6–pp.
 - [4] J. Han, Y. Chen, Multiple uav formations for cooperative source seeking and contour mapping of a radiative signal field, *Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems* 74 (1-2) (2014) 323–332.
 - [5] Q. Du, V. Faber, M. Gunzburger, Centroidal voronoi tessellations: applications and algorithms, *SIAM review* 41 (4) (1999) 637–676.
 - [6] Y. Chen, Z. Wang, J. Liang, Optimal dynamic actuator location in distributed feedback control of a diffusion process, *International Journal of Sensor Networks* 2 (3) (2007) 169–178.
 - [7] B. Stark, S. Rider, Y. Chen, Optimal pest management by networked unmanned cropdusters in precision agriculture: A cyber-physical system approach, in: *Research, Education and Development of Unmanned Aerial Systems*, Vol. 2, 2013, pp. 296–302.
 - [8] J. Liang, Y. Chen, Diff-mas2d (version 0.9) users manual: A simulation platform for controlling distributed parameter systems (diffusion) with networked movable actuators and sensors (mas) in 2d domain, CSOIS, Utah State University, Tech. Rep. USU-CSOIS-TR-04-03.
 - [9] I. Podlubny, *Fractional differential equations: an introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications*, Vol. 198, Academic press, 1998.
 - [10] L. Ju, Q. Du, M. Gunzburger, Probabilistic methods for centroidal voronoi tessellations and their parallel implementations, *Parallel Computing* 28 (10) (2002) 1477–1500.
 - [11] Y. Q. Chen, Z. Wang, K. L. Moore, Optimal spraying control of a diffusion process using mobile actuator networks with fractional potential field based dynamic obstacle avoidance, in: *Networking, Sensing and Control*, 2006. ICNSC’06. Proceedings of the 2006 IEEE International Conference on, IEEE, 2006, pp. 107–112.

- [12] Y. Chen, Z. Wang, J. Liang, Actuation scheduling in mobile actuator networks for spatial-temporal feedback control of a diffusion process with dynamic obstacle avoidance, in: *Mechatronics and Automation, 2005 IEEE International Conference*, Vol. 2, IEEE, 2005, pp. 752–757.
- [13] Y. Q. Chen, Z. Wang, J. Liang, Y. Chen, Optimal dynamic actuator location in distributed feedback control of a diffusion process, in: *Decision and Control, 2005 and 2005 European Control Conference. CDC-ECC'05. 44th IEEE Conference on*, IEEE, 2005, pp. 5662–5667.
- [14] J. Cortes, S. Martinez, T. Karatas, F. Bullo, Coverage control for mobile sensing networks, in: *Robotics and Automation, 2002. Proceedings. ICRA'02. IEEE International Conference on*, Vol. 2, IEEE, 2002, pp. 1327–1332.
- [15] A. Howard, M. J. Matarić, G. S. Sukhatme, Mobile sensor network deployment using potential fields: A distributed, scalable solution to the area coverage problem, in: *Distributed autonomous robotic systems 5*, Springer, 2002, pp. 299–308.
- [16] M. D. Ortigueira, Fractional central differences and derivatives, *Journal of Vibration and Control* 14 (9-10) (2008) 1255–1266.